Charleston School of the Arts

1600 Saranac St.

North Charleston, SC 29405

Grades 6–12 High School

Enrollment 930 Students

Principal Rose Maree Myers 843-529-4990

Superintendent Dr. Maria L. Goodloe–Johnson 843–937–6319

Board Chair Ms. Nancy Cook 873–760–2635

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL

2006 F

REPORT CARD

ABSOLUTE RATING

EXCELLENT

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

8 0 0 0 0 0 0

IMPROVEMENT RATING

EXCELLENT

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

YES

This school met 9 out of 9 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

| PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| Year | Absolute Rating | Improvement Rating | Adequate Yearly Progress | | | |
| 2003 | Excellent | Good | Yes | | | |
| 2004 | Excellent | Excellent | Yes | | | |
| 2005 | Excellent | Excellent | Yes | | | |
| 2006 | Excellent | Excellent | Yes | | | |

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance

| HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|-------|------|--------------------|------|------|--|--|
| Our School High Schools with | | | | | | | | |
| | | | _ | Students Like Ours | | | | |
| Percent | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | | |
| Passed 2 subtests | 98.1 | 100.0 | 96.2 | 91.0 | 87.4 | 89.1 | | |
| Passed 1 subtest | 1.9 | N/A | 3.1 | 5.3 | 6.4 | 6.0 | | |
| Passed no subtests | N/A | N/A | 0.8 | 4.5 | 6.2 | 4.9 | | |

| HSAP PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2006 | | |
|----------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------------|
| | Our School | High Schools with Students Like Ours |
| Percent | 100.0% | 98.0% |

| ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Percent of | Our School | High Schools with Students Like Ours |
| Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions* | 41.8 | 37.6 |
| Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement | 41.8 | 38.4 |
| Seniors who met the grade point average | 81.6 | 71.0 |

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

| GRADUATION RATE | | |
|--------------------|------------|-----------------------------------------|
| | Our School | High Schools with Students Like Ours |
| Number of Students | 101 | 358 |
| Number of Diplomas | 100 | 317 |
| Rate | 99.0% | 88.8% |

| END OF COURSE TESTS | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|------------|-----------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Develop of attidants assuing 70 as above an | Our Sahaal | High Schools with Students Like Ours | | | | | |
| Percent of students scoring 70 or above on: | Our School | Students Like Ours | | | | | |
| Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2 | 96.3 | 90.6 | | | | | |
| English 1 | 96.6 | 87.2 | | | | | |
| Biology 1/Applied Biology 2 | 81.4 | 82.2 | | | | | |
| Physical Science | 82.4 | 72.3 | | | | | |
| All Subjects | 89.6 | 82.3 | | | | | |

| PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|-----------------|-------|------------------------|--|
| | HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2006 | | Eligibility Schola | | Graduation Rate | | | |
| | n | % | n | % | n | % | Met State Objective | |
| All Students | 108 | 100.0 | 98 | 41.8 | 100 | 99.0 | Yes | |
| Gender | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 38 | 100.0 | 31 | 41.9 | 35 | 97.1 | N/A | |
| Female | 70 | 100.0 | 67 | 41.8 | 66 | 100.0 | N/A | |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | | | | | | | | |
| White | 78 | 100.0 | 67 | 53.7 | 68 | 100.0 | N/A | |
| African American | 25 | 100.0 | 28 | 7.1 | 30 | 96.7 | N/A | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 3 | 100.0 | 2 | 100.0 | 2 | 100.0 | N/A | |
| Hispanic | 1 | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0 | 1 | 100.0 | N/A | |
| American Indian/Alaskan | N/A | N/A | 0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | | | | | | | | |
| Non disabled | 103 | 100.0 | 94 | 43.6 | 96 | 99.0 | N/A | |
| Disabilities other than speech | 5 | 100.0 | 4 | 0.0 | 5 | 100.0 | N/A | |
| Migrant Status | | | | | | | | |
| Migrant | N/A | N/A | 0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Non-migrant | 108 | 100.0 | 98 | 41.8 | 101 | 99.0 | N/A | |
| English Proficiency | | | | | | | | |
| Limited English Proficient | N/A | N/A | 0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | |
| Non-Limited English Proficient | 108 | 100.0 | 98 | 41.8 | 101 | 99.0 | N/A | |
| Socio-Economic Status | | | | | | | | |
| Subsidized meals | 16 | 100.0 | 16 | 93.8 | 16 | 93.8 | N/A | |
| Full-pay meals | 92 | 100.0 | 87 | 46.0 | 85 | 100.0 | N/A | |

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

| PERFORM | |
|---------|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |

| | Enrollment 1st | % Tested | % Below Basiz | % Basic | % Proficient | % Advanced | % Proficient and | Performance Objective | Participation Objective Mod |
|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------|------------------|------------------|--------------|------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| All Students | nglish/Lan | guage Art | s - State 0.8 | Performa 15.0 | nce Obje | , | 90.6 | Yes | Yes |
| Gender | 100 | 100.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 00.1 | 00.0 | 00.0 | 100 | 100 |
| Male | 47 | 100.0 | 2.2 | 19.6 | 34.8 | 43.5 | 87.0 | N/A | N/A |
| Female | 83 | 100.0 | N/A | 12.3 | 28.4 | 59.3 | 92.6 | N/A | N/A |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | | | | 14.0 | | - | | | |
| White | 83 | 100.0 | 1.2 | 14.8 | 24.7 | 59.3 | 90.1 | Yes | Yes |
| African American | 30 | 100.0 | N/A | 20.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 90.0 | I/S | I/S |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 9 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| Hispanic | 6 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| American Indian/Alaskan | 1 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| Disability Status | | 1,0 | .,0 | .,0 | ., 0 | .,0 | .,0 | .,0 | 1,0 |
| Not Disabled | 126 | 100.0 | 0.8 | 13.0 | 30.9 | 55.3 | 91.9 | N/A | N/A |
| Disabled | 4 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| Migrant Status | | ., 0 | ., 0 | ., 0 | ., 0 | ., 0 | ., 0 | ., 0 | ., 0 |
| Migrant | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Non-Migrant | 130 | 100.0 | 0.8 | 15.0 | 30.7 | 53.5 | 90.6 | N/A | N/A |
| English Proficiency | | 100.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 00.1 | 00.0 | 00.0 | 1471 | 1471 |
| Limited English Proficient | 2 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| Non-Limited English Proficient | 128 | 100.0 | 0.8 | 15.1 | 30.2 | 54.0 | 90.5 | N/A | N/A |
| Socio-Economic Status | | 100.0 | 0.0 | | 00.2 | 0 1.0 | 00.0 | 1471 | 1471 |
| Subsidized meals | 20 | 100.0 | N/A | 35.0 | 40.0 | 25.0 | 85.0 | I/S | I/S |
| Full-pay meals | 110 | 100.0 | 0.9 | 11.2 | 29.0 | 58.9 | 91.6 | N/A | N/A |
| | | • | | • | • | | 01.0 | 1 14/7 (| 14/7 |
| | Mathemati | | | , | | | 00.0 | V | . V |
| All Students | 130 | 100.0 | 3.9 | 15.0 | 39.4 | 41.7 | 90.6 | Yes | Yes |
| Gender | 47 | 400.0 | 4.0 | 40.0 | 44.0 | 40.5 | 04.0 | NI/A | N1/0 |
| Male | 47 | 100.0 | 4.3 | 10.9 | 41.3 | 43.5 | 91.3 | N/A | N/A |
| Female | 83 | 100.0 | 3.7 | 17.3 | 38.3 | 40.7 | 90.1 | N/A | N/A |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | 00 | 400.0 | 4.0 | 44.4 | 00.0 | 45.7 | 04.4 | V | . V |
| White | 83 | 100.0 | 4.9 | 11.1 | 38.3 | 45.7 | 91.4 | Yes | Yes |
| African American | 30 | 100.0 | 3.3 | 23.3 | 40.0 | 33.3 | 86.7 | I/S | I/S |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 9 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| Hispanic | 6 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| American Indian/Alaskan | 1 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| Disability Status | 400 | 400.0 | 0.4 | 45.4 | 00.0 | 40.4 | 04.0 | NI/A | N1/0 |
| Not Disabled | 126 | 100.0 | 2.4 | 15.4 | 39.0 | 43.1 | 91.9 | N/A | N/A |
| Disabled | 4 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| Migrant Status | | N1/A | NI/A | NI/A | NI/A | NI/A | NI/A | NI/A | NI/A |
| Migrant | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Non-Migrant | 130 | 100.0 | 3.9 | 15.0 | 39.4 | 41.7 | 90.6 | N/A | N/A |
| English Proficiency | | 110 | | | 1/0 | | | | 1/0 |
| Limited English Proficient | 2 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| Non-Limited English Proficient | 128 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 15.1 | 38.9 | 42.1 | 90.5 | N/A | N/A |

Non-Limited English Proficient

Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

20

100.0

5.0

15.1

35.0

38.9

40.0

39.3

20.0

75.0

93.5

I/S

N/A

I/S

N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE

| | Our School | Change from Last Year | High Schools with Students Like Ours | Median High School |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Students (n= 930) | | | Zino Guio | |
| Retention rate | 0.3% | Down from 0.4% | 3.0% | 7.0% |
| Attendance rate | 97.8% | Down from 98.1% | 96.6% | 95.5% |
| Eligible for gifted and talented | 50.3% | | 19.6% | 7.9% |
| With disabilities other than speech | 2.9% | | 7.5% | 12.3% |
| Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses | 0.4% 1.5% | | 4.4% 0.5% | 9.5% 1.2% |
| Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams | 19.8% N/A | Down from 36.5% N/A | 19.8% N/A | 11.2% N/A |
| Eligible for LIFE Scholarship* Annual dropout rate | 41.8% 0.0% | No change | 35.0% 1.0% | 10.2% 2.8% |
| Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations | 0.0% | No change | 0.0% | 3.5% |
| Enrollment in career/technology center courses | 208 | Up from 138 | 422 | 448 |
| Students participating in worked-based experiences | 0.0% | Down from 3.2% | 5.6% | 24.2% |
| Career/technology students mastering core competencies | 100.0% | Up from 99.1% | 87.5% | 80.0% |
| Career/technology completers placed * Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirem | N/A ents. | N/A | 100.0% | 99.1% |
| Teachers (n= 65) | | | | |
| Teachers with advanced degrees | 56.9% N/AV | Down from 59.4% | 61.0% N/AV | 55.5% N/AV |
| Continuing contract teachers | | NI/A | 7.9% | 9.6% |
| Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers | | N/A | | |
| Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates | 21.3% | Up from 17.5% | 4.8% | 9.9% |
| Teachers returning from previous year Teacher attendance rate | | Up from 90.3% Down from 96.7% | 90.9% 95.4% | 86.3% 95.3% |
| Average teacher salary | | Down 0.3% | \$44.307 | \$42.943 |
| Prof. development days/teacher | , , | Up from 14.1 days | 10.9 days | 11.2 days |
| School | | | | |
| Principal's years at school | | Up from 10.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 |
| Student-teacher ratio in core subjects | 25.5 to 1 | Up from 24.6 to 1 | 25.5 to 1 | 25.7 to 1 |
| Prime instructional time | 91.2% | Down from 93.1% | 90.9% | 89.3% |
| Dollars spent per pupil* | . , | Up 6.4% | \$5,612 | \$6,792 |
| Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* | | Down from 68.9% | 59.2% | 55.3% |
| Percent of expenditures for instruction* | 70.2% | | 63.0% | 61.1% |
| Opportunities in the arts Parents attending conferences | | No change Up from 85.0% | Excellent 93.6% | Excellent 92.8% |
| SACS accreditation | | No change | Yes | Yes |
| Character development | Average Down from Excellent | | Good | Good |
| * Prior year audited financial data are reported. | | | D: 1: 1 | 21.1 |
| Classes in low poverty schools not taught by high | v qualified toach | | District 0.7% | State 6.2% |
| | | | | 10.2% |
| 5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | State Object | | ate Objective | |

Abbreviations for Missing Data

0.0%

94.0%*

No

Yes

Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in this school

Student attendance in this school *or greater than last year

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

This year we have reached another milestone in our development as a complete middle and high school: our enrollment topped 930 students in 2005-06, and our projected enrollment for the coming school year is nearly 1000 students. Not only are we maintaining students who attend our middle school, we are also attracting additional high school students.

Our fine arts programs have made a reputation for themselves in the community, but the quality of academic programs at School of the Arts is now being recognized as well. Our average SAT score is 1103, 110 points above the state average and 75 points above the national average. Among CCSD high schools with more than 15% in Advanced Placement classes, SOA ranks highest on AP exam scores of 3-5, at 73.3%. One hundred percent of graduates have been accepted at colleges and universities, and the Class of 2005 earned more than \$2 million in scholarship money.

Recently we have worked to improve communication among staff and community through the addition of two leadership positions, Curriculum Coordinator and Fine Arts Director. We have increased instructional time in English Language Arts at middle school level to 500 minutes per week. Our teachers have implemented the Coherent Curriculum to ensure that S.C. Curriculum Standards are effectively addressed and have utilized MAP data to differentiate instruction. We continue to provide 500 minutes of weekly instruction in math at 6th and 7th grades. A major focus this year has been providing Internet access to mobile classrooms. Our staff utilizes vertical teaming to ensure continuous, comprehensive curriculum from grades 6-12 in math, science, English, and social studies. We continue to develop and refine Fine Arts programs to enable students to achieve their potential and to provide community outreach.

Adapting old facilities and more than 20 mobile classrooms to meet the needs of our arts and academic programs presents quite a challenge. However, our greatest challenge remains securing funding for our fine arts programs. Studios, equipment and supplies are continuous, recurring expenses, and all are costly.

Celebrating the strength of diversity, we look forward to the continued building of this school's mission and its bright future.

Rose Maree Myers, Principal Myrna Caldwell, School Improvement Council Chair

| EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|--|--|--|
| | Teachers | Students* | Parents* | | | |
| Number of surveys returned | 40 | 0 | 0 | | | |
| Percent satisfied with learning environment | 85.0% | N/R | N/R | | | |
| Percent satisfied with social and physical environment | 85.0% | N/R | N/R | | | |
| Percent satisfied with school-home relations | 100.0% | N/R | N/R | | | |

^{*}Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.